REMARKS

The only issues outstanding in the Office Action mailed May 30, 2008, are the rejections under the doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting, and 35 U.S.C. 112. Reconsideration of these issues, in view of the following discussion, is respectfully requested.

Double Patenting

Claims 1, 2, 13 and 16-21 have been rejected under the doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1, 2 and 9-16 of co-pending U.S. application serial no. 10/594,024. Reconsideration of this rejection is respectfully requested.

R⁴ in the co-pending application is

.

Inasmuch as R³ in the present claims is is 2-oxopiperidin-1-yl, 2-oxopyrrolidin-1-yl, 2-oxo-1*H*-pyridin-1-yl, 3-oxomorpholin-4-yl, 4-oxo-1*H*-pyridin-1-yl, 2-oxo-1*H*-pyrazin-1-yl, 2-oxoimidazolidin-1-yl, 2-iminopiperidin-1-yl, 2-iminopyrrolidin-1-yl, 3-iminomorpholin-4-yl, 2-iminoimidazolidin-1-yl, 2-imino-1*H*-pyrazin-1-yl, 2,6-dioxopiperidin-1-yl, 2-oxopiperazin-1-yl, 2,6-dioxopiperazin-1-yl, 2,5-dioxopyrrolidin-1-yl, 2-oxo-1,3-oxazolidin-3-yl, 3-oxo-2*H*-pyridazin-2-yl, 2-caprolactam-1-yl (= 2-oxoazepan-1-yl), 2-azabicyclo[2.2.2]octan-3-on-2-yl, 5,6-dihydro-1*H*-pyrimidin-2-oxo-1-yl, 2-oxo-1,3-oxazinan-3-yl, 4*H*-1,4-oxazin-4-yl, furyl, thienyl, pyrrolyl, imidazolyl, pyrazolyl, oxazolyl, isoxazolyl,

thiazolyl, isothiazolyl, pyridyl, pyrimidinyl, triazolyl, tetrazolyl, oxadiazolyl, thiadiazolyl, pyridazinyl or pyrazinyl,

optionally mono- or disubstituted by Hal, OA, OH, COOA and/or A, or CONR⁴R⁵.

15

DOCKET NO.: MERCK-3112

It is submitted that the possible substitutions in the co-pending application do not

suggest the present claims. Note that claim 4, which has been incorporated into claim 1

herein, was not subject to this rejection. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection is

respectfully requested.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112

Claims 18 and 20 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. It is argued

that utilities such as migraine, tinnitus, tumor, tumor diseases and/or tumor medistasis are not

enabled. Applicants stand by their previous remarks concerning the enablement of these

species. However, in order to expedite prosecution, claim 18 has been limited to those

utilities disclosed at pages 5 and 9 of the present Office Action as being enabled. Claim 20,

which has become superfluous, has been cancelled. Withdrawal of this rejection is also

respectfully requested.

The claims of the application are submitted to be in condition for allowance.

However, should the Examiner have any questions or comments, she is cordially invited to

16

telephone the undersigned at the number below.

Respectfully submitted,

/Harry B. Shubin/

Harry B. Shubin, Reg. No. 32,004

Attorney for Applicant(s)

MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO

& BRANIGAN, P.C.

Arlington Courthouse Plaza 1, Suite 1400

2200 Clarendon Boulevard

Arlington, Virginia 22201

Telephone: (703) 243-6333

Facsimile: (703) 243-6410

Attorney Docket No.: MERCK-3112

Date: September 30, 2008

DOCKET NO.: MERCK-3112